

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.974 OF 2006

Sau Ratan Sukhdeo Ganpatil and ors.

...Appellants

v/s

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

...Respondents

Mr

S.A.

Rajeshirke

for

Appellants.

None

for

Respondents.

CORAM : **D.K. DESHMUKH J.**

DATE : **13TH JULY 2007.**

P.C.

:-

1. This second appeal is preferred by the original plaintiffs. Both the Courts below have concurrently found that the plaintiffs have not made a case. The suit of the plaintiffs was for removal of encroachment. Both the Courts below have recorded findings of facts. According to the learned counsel, the substantial question of law is that the report of the surveyor with regard to encroachment is not considered. It appears that the said report has been considered by the Trial Court. The Appeal Court has found nothing wrong with the order passed by the Trial Court. There is no substantial question of law arising in such matters. Appeal is rejected.

. Parties to act on the copy of this order duly authenticated by the Sheristedar / Private Secretary as true copy.

. Certified copy expedited.
